

STATE OF CONNECTICUT CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL Docket No. 503 Arx Wireless Infrastructure, LLC application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance, and operation of a telecommunications facility located at 43 Osgood Avenue, New Britain, Connecticut. VIA ZOOM AND TELECONFERENCE Public Comment Session held on Tuesday, July 20, 2021, beginning at 6:30 p.m. via remote access. Held Before: EDWARD EDELSON, Presiding Officer

Reporter: Lisa L. Warner, CSR #061

1	Appearances:
2	
3	Council Members:
4	ROBERT HANNON Designee for Commissioner Katie Dykes
5	Department of Energy and Environmental Protection
6	QUAT NGUYEN
7	Designee for Chairman Marissa Paslick Gillett
8	Public Utilities Regulatory Authority
9	ROBERT SILVESTRI DANIEL P. LYNCH, JR.
10	LOUANNE COOLEY
11	Council Staff:
12	MELANIE BACHMAN, ESQ. Executive Director and
13	Staff Attorney
14 15	MICHAEL PERRONE Siting Analyst
16	LISA FONTAINE Fiscal Administrative Officer
17	
18	For Applicant Arx Wireless Infrastructure,
19	COHEN & WOLF, P.C.
20	1115 Broad Street Bridgeport, Connecticut 06604
21	BY: DAVID A. BALL, ESQ. PHILIP C. PIRES, ESQ.
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Appearances: (Cont'd)
2	
3	BROWN RUDNICK LLP
4	
5	BY: THOMAS J. REGAN, ESQ.
6	
7	For Party, City of New Britain: OFFICE OF CORPORATION COUNSEL
8	City of New Britain 27 West Main Street New Britain, Connecticut 06051
10	BY: JOSEPH E. SKELLY, JR., ESQ.
11	
12	Also present: DOUGLAS ROBERTS, AECOM
13	
14	Zoom Co-host: Aaron Demarest
15	
16	
17	*(AUDIO INTERRUPTION) - denotes breaks in speech due to interruptions in audio or echo.
18	
19	**All participants were present via remote access.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

MR. EDELSON: Ladies and gentlemen, this remote public hearing is called to order this Tuesday, July 20, 2021, at 6:30 p.m. My name is Ed Edelson. I'm a member and presiding officer of the Connecticut Siting Council. Other members of the Council are Robert Silvestri, Daniel P. Lynch, Jr., and Louanne Cooley.

Members of the staff are Melanie Bachman, executive director and staff attorney; Michael Perrone, siting analyst; and Lisa Fontaine, fiscal administrative officer.

Please note there is currently a statewide effort to prevent the spread of the Coronavirus. This is why the Council is holding this remote public hearing, and we ask for your patience. If you haven't done so already, I ask that everyone please mute their computer audio or telephone now.

This is a continuation of a remote public hearing that began at 2 p.m. this afternoon. A copy of the prepared agenda is available on the Council's Docket No. 503 webpage, along with the record of this matter, the public hearing notice, instructions for public access to this remote public hearing, and the Council's

Citizens Guide to Siting Council Procedures.

This hearing is held pursuant to the provisions of Title 16 of the Connecticut General Statutes and of the Uniform Administrative Procedure Act upon an application from Arx Wireless Infrastructure, LLC for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance, and operation of a telecommunications facility located at 43 Osgood Avenue, New Britain, Connecticut. The application was received by the Council on May 14, 2021.

This application is also governed by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, which is administered by the Federal Communications

Commission. This Act prohibits the Council from considering the health effects of radio frequency emissions on human health and wildlife to the extent the emissions from towers are within the federal acceptable safe limits standard, which standard is also followed by the state Department of Public Health. The Federal Act also prohibits this Council from discriminating between and amongst providers of functionally equivalent services. This means that if one carrier already provides service for an area, other carriers have

a right to compete and provide service in the same area.

The Council's legal notice of the date and time of this remote public hearing was published in The Hartford Courant on June 9, 2021. Upon this Council's request, the applicant installed a sign in the vicinity of the proposed site so as to inform the public of the name of the applicant, the type of facility, the remote public hearing date, and contact information for the Council, including the website and phone number.

This remote public comment session is reserved for the public to make brief statements into the record. These public statements are not subject to questions from the parties or the Council, and members of the public making statements may not ask questions of the parties or the Council. In fairness to everyone who signed up to speak, these public statements will be limited to three minutes and will become part of the record for Council consideration. Please be advised that written comments may be submitted by any person within 30 days of the public hearing.

As a reminder to all, off-the-record communication with a member of the Council or a

member of the Council staff upon the merits of this application is prohibited by law.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I wish to note that parties and intervenors, including their representatives, witnesses and members, are not allowed to participate in the public comment session. I also wish to note for those who are listening and for the benefit of your friends and neighbors who are unable to join us for the remote public comment session, that you or they may send written comments to the Council within 30 days of the date hereof by mail or by email, and such written statements will be given the same weight as if spoken at the remote public comment session. Please be advised that any person may be removed from the remote public comment session at the discretion of the Council.

We ask each person making a public statement in this proceeding to confine his or her statements to the subject matter before the Council and to avoid unreasonable repetition so that we may hear all of the concerns you and your neighbors may have. Please be advised that the Council cannot answer questions from the public about the proposal.

A verbatim transcript of this remote public hearing will be posted on the Council's Docket No. 503 webpage and deposited at the New Britain City Clerk's Office for the convenience of the public.

Please be advised that the Council's project evaluation criteria under the statute does not include consideration of property values.

Now, before I call on members of the public to make statements, I request the applicant to make a very brief presentation to the public describing the proposed facility.

Mr. Coppins and Mr. Roberts, I believe you're prepared to do that?

MR. ROBERTS: Yes, we are. I'd love to do that.

MR. EDELSON: I think we're going to put up an exhibit here. There we go.

MR. ROBERTS: Thank you very much.

Again, my name is Doug Roberts. I'm an architect at AECOM. And our proposal is in this document here. If I could jump to the second page, that would probably be the most helpful one. It's an as-built survey where we can see the school, the existing school structure. And we place the tower

in sort of the alcove of the school in the back to limit the base and a lot of the exposure from Goodwin Avenue and Beach Street. The next page will be helpful.

This is the site plan. Basically, we're going to be utilizing an existing drive coming in off of Beach Street, and we're traveling about 150 feet along this road. We're going to be replacing it with gravel. It's existing bituminous. It's far beyond its life span. Our equipment is going to be located in a 50 by 50 fenced compound within a 75 by 75 lease area.

We can jump to C-2. And that shows us the elevation and the equipment. You can see the monopole placed in the center of the compound with AT&T's generator and equipment shelter in the southwest corner allowing for room for not only additional carriers to go on the site but room for any emergency services that maybe the Town of New Britain might have. And on the right side is the north elevation of the tower showing the antennas. The top of the antennas are 104 feet tall off of grade with the centerline of the antennas at 100 feet with room for four carriers on this tower. Thank you very much.

1 MR. EDELSON: Just a note on remote 2 public hearings. Remote public hearings are quite 3 different from in-person public hearings. 4 in-person public hearings, members of the public 5 could sign in, step up to the podium and offer 6 their comments. For remote public hearings, the 7 public is required to sign up to speak in advance 8 in order to provide Council staff with the time 9 necessary to facilitate connection precautions to 10 prevent interruption or in common terms bombings 11 of the proceedings. There are protocols, 12 procedures and consistency measures that are 13 followed as part of the remote public hearing 14 process. Again, written comments may be submitted 15 within 30 days of this public hearing. 16 And we will now call on those who 17 signed up, and we will begin with Senator Rick 18 Lopez. Is Senator Lopez there? 19 SENATOR LOPEZ: Yes, Mr. Edelson. 20 Thank you. For brevity sake -- can you hear me? 21 MR. EDELSON: I can hear you. Thank 22 you. 23 SENATOR LOPEZ: Wonderful. For brevity 24 sake, I'll be speaking on behalf of the New

Britain legislative delegation, myself,

25

Representative Tercyak, who I see is on this Zoom, Representatives Bobby Sanchez and Manny Sanchez.

This project came to our attention from constituents living in the area concerned about it, and I'm speaking against this project. The reasons for opposition are multi-level. I think they definitely need to be fleshed out and looked into a little bit further by both myself, since it came to me kind of late, and by the city.

The location is in a residential neighborhood. For that reason, I'm not exactly sure if that's an appropriate -- not appropriate, but a great use for that building. I understand the building has been vacant for quite some time, but I've envisioned for years a use of that building being other than a cell phone tower.

My biggest concerns involve the property was recently cited by the city as being blighted. I would really like to hold off approval on this project until the owners of the property address that issue of the blight and remedy that situation and become a partner with the city and the community in making the property clean and in safe shape.

A minor concern I had was, I drive by

the property quite often on Osgood Avenue. I don't remember seeing signage on Osgood. I was informed by a neighbor there was one on Beach Street, but the one on Osgood, I don't know if it was just not in a visible spot or I just missed it, but I drive by Osgood quite often and I don't remember seeing it.

I've spoken to the Mayor's office.

They also are in opposition. I believe the Mayor has submitted testimony in opposition of this project, and I've spoken to her staff who admitted they also have some of the same concerns I have in terms of the property being blighted. And I'd like to see, to remedy that situation, more buy-in from the owners of the property.

But in a nutshell that is it. I wouldn't say it's the worst project in the world I've ever seen, but I would like to see a little bit more caution and have the project fleshed out a little bit longer and listen to the concerns of the residents that have been brought up that they think it's not an appropriate use.

So I can see Representative Tercyak, you can either nod or give me a thumbs of, but I believe I spoke on his behalf. But that is all.

1 Thank you very much. 2 MR. EDELSON: Thank you, Senator Lopez. 3 Our next speaker will be Marek Swietek, 4 I hope I pronounced the name correctly, followed 5 by Joyce Adolewski-Hass. So Marek Swietek first. 6 Let's see if we can find him on the screen. 7 You're on mute. If you could start talking that 8 might help, if you can get off mute. 9 (No response.) 10 MR. EDELSON: I don't see anybody 11 stepping up. So maybe if we can move on and we'll 12 come back, if necessary. Our next speaker is Joyce Adolewski-Haas. I hope I pronounced that 13 14 correctly. 15 JOYCE ADOLEWSKI-HAAS: Hi. Yes, I'm 16 This is Joyce Adolewski. here. 17 MR. EDELSON: Go ahead. 18 MS. ADOLEWSKI-HAAS: Would you like me 19 to present? 20 MR. EDELSON: Yes, please. I'm sorry. 21 MS. ADOLEWSKI-HAAS: I live close to 22 the proposed tower on 43 Osgood Avenue. 23 actually on Oakland Avenue. I also submitted a 24 letter with pictures regarding the area and the 25 placement of the cell phone tower to the siting

commission. And then I listened to the evidentiary session this afternoon, and I have many concerns. I really don't want the construction of the 5G tower in my residential area. It's not right as it's going to add to the current blight next to the already condemned school building that has had nothing done with it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have some concerns over the way Arx notified the public, and I really feel that they hampered the ability for neighbors and taxpayers to be fully informed. I understand that it was posted in the newspaper, but a lot of us don't have the time to read the newspaper, and we rely on the signs in the area. And since the building faces Osgood Avenue, okay, I feel -- and that's a very heavily traveled road -- I think the sign should have been placed there, but instead it was put on Beach Street. It was on the side street. And every single day a large flatbed tow truck parked in front of the sign. Okay. I notified people about that. Also, there weren't a lot of property owners notified in writing, so that was another issue. And I just found out because eventually I did see the sign.

Now, my concern is over the blighted

building. The owners are being fined daily because the building is unsafe and can collapse. It's been cited according to Code No. 117. It has a roof that's not intact and open to the weather. The interior floor is dilapidated, unstable, because the roof section is missing, okay, so that's a major problem. Now I have concerns that AT&T plans to buy the property but it doesn't have any definite plans as to what they want to do with it, and the tower can go as high as 130 feet. I really got the impression that AT&T was very evasive on quite a few topics when questioned. They answered some, but they omitted others.

The owners of a couple of those homes are totally open to the fields close to where the tower is, and they have put up their houses for sale, and I think they're in the process of being sold. There's only a few trees behind the rest of the buildings that are in that area. It's all open to a residential area. I do know about some of the other towers that have been placed at Falcon Field and Holy Cross Church which is very close to this one. And I just, I'm just very worried about this in general.

And I also read the Arx report, and

there were some inconsistencies in the report especially with Holy Cross Church, and I even called them to question them about that as well. And now it looks like AT&T is not really being committed to making any definitive improvement to the already blighted building. As they not only want to put up a tower, but buy the land and building too. And this wasn't stated in the proposal -- (TIME ELAPSED).

MR. EDELSON: Okay. Thank you. Your three minutes is up. And if you would like to provide additional public comment via mail or email, please feel free to do that.

Our next scheduled speaker is Brandon
Haas followed by George Haas. Brandon? I'll see
if you're on. I don't see a Brandon here but that

BRANDON HAAS: That's me.

MR. EDELSON: Okay. You've got the stage.

MR. HAAS: You know, they really didn't give a lot of notice. I have to echo a lot of what my mom had said. They didn't give a lot of notice that they were going to be putting up the tower. And not only that, but it was also blocked

by a tow truck, so no one can really see the sign that was there. So again, it wasn't a high trafficked area.

You know, it sounds like people in the area have been asking a lot about this building for a very long time, and they have not taken care of the building. And I'm not sure what really goes into that. But to allow a blighted property to be there and have the people in the community ask, hey, can you please take care of this, you know, and then they come back and they say, oh, we're going to put up a tower in a residential area, you know, it's kind of a slap in the face to the people in the community and it's aggravating. So, but on top of that, you know, I really think that, you know, that's not something that I want to see in this area.

MR. EDELSON: Are you finished, sir?
MR. HAAS: Yes.

MR. EDELSON: Oh, okay. Thank you. Thank you for your comment.

So I'll now move to George Haas, if you can make sure you're on mute, and just identify yourself.

(AUDIO INTERRUPTION)

MR. EDELSON: George, there might be two speakers on.

GEORGE HAAS: We're getting that adjusted here. Can you hear me? You got me?

MR. EDELSON: That's much better.

Thank you.

MR. HAAS: Okay, I'm here now. I'm George Haas, and I'd like to -- can you hear me?

MR. EDELSON: I can hear you.

MR. HAAS: I'd like to speak on the same thing that the building is an old building, over a hundred years old. The building itself is a health problem. On top of that, I've already had cancer twice. I don't need this AT&T, this big tech, and Verizon, and whatever companies you're going to have there, come into my residential area and run my life. I think we have enough problems with this whole country running our life right now, and it's sickening.

And this is a health problem. You already have it on Falcon Field, a tower, you have it at Holy Cross Church, a tower. What do you need to do? You don't have to shove this stuff down our throats, okay? Our health department has got to get involved. They've got to stop hiding

behind closed doors, or wherever they are, and put a stop to this stuff before it runs our lives, before these guys -- it's all about big money, and we all know it. And they're having a cell tower war throughout our town and other towns. We don't need it. I've got my regular phone here. I can dial 911 all the time. You don't need this stuff.

And also, these companies, I've heard people talking about the power is weak, the power is weak. Well, the power is not weak. It's AT&T controlling how many bars you have on your phone. They have control. These people are not stupid. They know what they're doing, and you're taking us down. You've got to put a stop to it. Thank you very much.

MR. EDELSON: Okay. Thank you. So next up will be Marek Piechota I think is on the phone and followed by Christina Kargul. So Marek Piechota, I hope I'm saying that close to right. I apologize if I'm not. You can start speaking. That will help us identify where you are, and if not, we can come back.

(No response.)

MR. EDELSON: Okay. Is Christina Kargul available and ready to speak? Again,

please, if you are ready, please take yourself off mute and identify yourself and we can proceed.

(No response.)

MR. EDELSON: Okay. Then I will move on to Jonathan Johnson.

JONATHAN JOHNSON: Hey, how are you?

Can you hear me okay?

MR. EDELSON: We can. Thank you.

MR. JOHNSON: Hi. My name is Jonathan Johnson. I live at 133 Highview Avenue, right around the corner from where you're going to put up a giant cell phone antenna. Right there in this residential neighborhood 104 feet into the sky is where you're planning on putting a cell tower right here in this residential neighborhood. This is just houses around here. We live in houses. This is not an industrial zone. This is not a commercial zone. This is a residential neighborhood. You're going to put a 104 foot cell tower into the sky in our backyards. That's what you're doing.

I'm going to walk you down the street.

There's two houses, and right over here they've
got forewarning about this project, and they both
tried to sell. This guy over here was under

deposit, but I'm sure as soon as that sign went up on the front yard over here of the school that deposit fell through. This is not a commercial/industrial zone. These are people's houses. This is people's lives over here. This is their life savings, their equity. This is not an industrial zone. This is not a commercial zone. There's got to be a hundred other locations in this town where you can put a cell phone tower. You can't tell me that these people, this neighborhood needs a giant cell tower and an AT&T warehouse because of this one school.

If I can get down there fast enough,
I'll show you. Oh, now there's two trucks in
front of the sign. The one sign you put out right
there has had this tow truck in front of it every
single day for weeks. You guys did the addresses
on Osgood. This is Beach. Osgood is down there.
Where is the sign on Osgood? It's no where to be
found. You're trying to ram this project down our
throats in this residential neighborhood in the
middle of summer when no one is watching. It's a
shame. It's a travesty. You've got to stop this
project. It's absolutely ridiculous. These are
people's houses. You're going to ruin all of the

equity in their houses, all of it. You've got to stop this project. It's insane.

So I rise against this project for everybody here who couldn't get notice of this freaking, this last minute hearing. Every single person here would rise up against this if they had any forewarning that you guys were doing this. It's insane. Stop this cell tower. There's a hundred other locations in the city. This is not a commercial zone. This is not an industrial zone. Forget the building is blighted. That's a whole nother issue. That's got to be solved separately. You guys should not be putting a cell tower.

Look, there's for sale signs right here because they know these houses are worth zero as soon as that tower goes up. Stop this project.

Thank you.

MR. EDELSON: Thank you. Next up is Emily Johnson followed by Ryan Colandrea.

EMILY JOHNSON: Hi. My name is Emily Johnson, and I am Jonathan's wife. So this is our backyard. I just want to show you it again. Literally, the thing is going to be sticking up right in my backyard, right in my backyard. I

come out here with my kids, we come and play, and I'm going to have a giant cell phone tower. I'm going to be able to see it right here. This is ridiculous. This makes me want to move. It's going to ruin the entire neighborhood. There is no reason for it.

Like, everyone that comes out here, we come out here, we hang out and stuff. I come out with my 4 year old and my 7 year old, and I'm going to have to have a giant thing sticking up in the yard. It's ridiculous. There's plenty of other spaces in New Britain to put a tower like that. There's absolutely not one single -- I mean, right here, I'll be out here, and there's going to be a giant thing behind my head with my kids running around. There's absolutely no reason why this needs to be here at all.

So I just am strongly opposed to this. And like I said, there's plenty of other spaces in New Britain to put a cell phone tower besides in everyone's backyard. You're going to ruin the entire neighborhood. And New Britain, this is a great neighborhood. There's people, they walk their dogs every day and stuff, like it's a friendly neighborhood with a lot of families, and

ruin it. And it's like that would be very sad and unfortunate that New Britain is going to do something like that when you're just going to ruin it. It's just going to completely ruin the whole entire neighborhood, and there's plenty of other spaces to put it in besides here.

so I suggest that they just find another spot besides in someone's backyard, especially people with kids and stuff. Like, people walk their dogs around this whole neighborhood, and it's very friendly, and you're going to destroy all of that for something that's not even needed. And honestly, it's like that building could be so much, something so much better than just selling out to AT&T for a cell phone tower. So I just strongly oppose it, and I suggest putting it somewhere else in our town. Thank you.

MR. EDELSON: Thank you. So next up is Ryan Colandrea followed by Daniel Salerno. So Ryan, if you can identify yourself, make sure you're off mute.

RYAN COLANDREA: Hello. Can you hear me?

MR. EDELSON: Yes, we can. Thank you.

MR. COLANDREA: Hi, I'm Ryan Colandrea. I live over on 54 Beach Street. That's right on the corner of Highview and Beach Street. It was the big white house that you could see from their videos.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I just moved here in October. I am a first-time homeowner, so this sort of thing is new to me. But coming from my perspective, I'm not going to come here and say, like I'm not a doctor or a construction worker where I know I can come here with facts. I just, I'm more coming from it from the appropriate use. And coming from Cromwell where I lived growing up, I was looking for a new house, and I ended up buying a multi-family. The reason is, the first time I saw this house there was a bunch of people walking through the neighborhood, and I definitely felt like home here as opposed -- and I echo what everybody else is saying where I think the tower will definitely, it will hurt the value of our houses. I think just going out and seeing that in a residential area is going to really suck. And it's not something that I thought moving in would be even considered.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm also echoing my tenants. They expressed concerns to me on that, that an area being used for a 110 foot tower. And being a small business owner here, I am worried about future tenants coming in having that when right now it's a very nice residential neighborhood, and I hope to keep it that way. Hopefully, that building could be used and that area could be used for much better things in the future. Thank you.

MR. EDELSON: Thank you. So next up is Daniel Salerno followed by Magdalena Hasik. Daniel, if you could make sure you're off mute.

DANIEL SALERNO: You can all hear me okay?

> MR. EDELSON: Yes.

MR. SALERNO: Good. Good evening, everyone. Thank you to the Connecticut Siting Council for holding this public hearing and opportunity for all of us. I want to first thank my fellow residents of the City of New Britain who have really, by pictures alone, have given you a taste of what the problem is in this particular area.

By the way, I'm an alderman at large in the City of New Britain. I'm the majority leader

of the New Britain Common Council. And I can tell you that I can speak for the Council from the standpoint I would expect to be at least the majority, certainly the majority, if not a unanimous vote against this particular proposal. So I think you need to at least register that as being very clear.

This, by the way, is not something to those residents that City of New Britain has supported. The Mayor has forwarded you a letter. It's very detailed. It provides some technical aspects of that. So I'd hope that you would read that letter that was dated July 13th and forward it to you via electronic mail to be able to identify.

I also want to thank State Senator Rick Lopes and Representative Tercyak for also representing our city in this concern. It is obvious that there is tremendous opposition. I received enumerable emails and phone calls over the last several weeks on this matter and decided I would be sure to be able to come and speak to this organization.

There are just so many factors involved in this, in the middle of a residential

development, it's redundant to say, but it was obvious just by the pictures, as you see.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I heard something about the fact we don't identify, deal with property values, and I wasn't really clear of what that means. Property values are really important to the residents in the City of New Britain. You know, we've seen a rise in property values over the last proposed COVID circumstance, and this is something that would derail that positive aspect that would come about if we had this tower up. The proprietor would end up getting some form of revenue from this, and the proprietor has met the limited amount of blight requirements, by the way. So they have over the course of years. I was instrumental myself in getting those trees torn down and bushes that had overgrown a number of years ago, and lately it's at least remains that the lawn area has been cut back.

But to allow a tower at a hundred plus feet to be grazing across this neighborhood is just unconscionable when you really consider what that kind of neighborhood is. And it is a very nice, quiet neighborhood in our community. People have lived there for decades. My own wife's uncle

and aunt live around the corner on Highview
Avenue, actually, 88 and 89 years old, and don't
need that kind of disruption at all from that
perspective.

This will not attract future developers, and I think that's crucial. And I believe my time is actually up. But I just want to say thank you. Please look at this seriously. The opposition is strong. Thank you.

MR. EDELSON: Thank you. So next up is Magdalena Hasik followed by Edyta Bobick.

MAGDALENA HASIK: Good evening. My name is Magdalena Hasik. My parents are residents on Richmond Avenue. I actually grew up on Richmond Avenue. That's where my first, my memories of my childhood have brought me to this country actually. My parents have worked really, really hard to live where they live, invested into their home, their livelihood. And to really hear that this huge tower is going to be built on a property that's an eyesore already, not only health sore, but over the years had fire safety problems, asbestos, children coming in and out being unsupervised.

The owner has bought the property to

invest. He promised the neighborhood residents that he's going to develop the property into apartments, it's going to be something beautiful. Richmond Avenue, the street alone has developed into brand new, spanking brand new homes where people have put their livelihood into. And to hear that another company is coming and they're putting an eyesore, hazardous sore for people, it's heartbreaking. It's really heartbreaking. And we already have a tower that was put on Holy Cross, like we mentioned before, church that's already there.

So no, I'm proposing that this does not pass. We are going to fight. We're going to fight against it. We have to protest, call the news, media, whatever, because nothing was spread to the neighborhoods, neighbors. Everything was kind of hush-hush. I don't think a lot of the neighbors had an opportunity to speak or to reach out to the city.

The Mayor Erin Stewart is against it.

She's with us. She wants New Britain to be an amazing developed city. She's done so much for us that this is not okay. Please don't let this happen. Please help us. And I would really

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

appreciate the Council takes all of our concerns and hears us. And thank you so much for letting me speak today. Thank you so much.

MR. EDELSON: Thank you. So next up is Edyta Bobick, I hope I'm saying it close to right, and then followed by Francisco Santiago. So I hope I'm saying the name right. Last name is Bobick. Are they there? Make sure you're unmuted and just identify yourself.

(No response.)

MR. EDELSON: Okay. We'll come back and see if we can find the people who haven't spoken yet, but we'll move on to Francisco Santiago, councilman from New Britain.

FRANCISCO SANTIAGO: Good evening. you hear me?

MR. EDELSON: Yes, you're on the phone. Yes, now I see you. Thank you.

MR. SANTIAGO: Thank you for allowing me to speak. I'm Alderman Francisco Santiago. I represent Ward 5 which is where the tower is being proposed to be put.

Prior to me being a councilman, I had a door knock, you know, part of grassrooting and getting to know the neighborhood. And that area

is so beautiful. The people in that neighborhood take so good care of their property. It's pristine. If you walk, if you took just a walk down Richmond, Beach, they take pride.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And one of the concerns -- well, multiple concerns, but the main one was that building. They were told that it was going to be renovated, apartments, and they opposed it, but again, as redevelopment they decided, you know, that would be the best. And nothing was done. I'm not sure exactly how long the property owners had that property, but the issues were complaints of like with the prior call-ins, you know, kids breaking in, the roof collapsed, you know, the plywoods were taken out, you know, people sleeping inside the building. And then now we want to put a tower. It's a poor excuse for whoever, you know, decided they wanted to put this tower there which was probably more the property owners, it's a poor excuse for them to avoid the blight issues.

And to me I definitely oppose it. I've been living in that neighborhood for the past 11, 12 years. I'm actually farther down, but I do drive through that Osgood every day, every single day. And I look at the building and I go, wow,

this property has so much potential. And then to add to it a tower would definitely make that area an eyesore.

And, you know, I talk from the heart, I don't write anything down. If you listen to me at council meetings, I listen to my constituents. They complain and I respond. And this is one of the issues that they are definitely complaining about. They do not want this tower put there. They want the building to either be re-renovated or something done so that it looks nice and presentable.

We're working on Osgood, which is down further from there, the park, we're working on areas of development all over that street, and to have a tower there it's redundant. I don't think we should put one there. I don't think that the residents will approve it. Of course, as you see, they're calling in. But I really do appreciate if you vote this proposal down and maybe have some other -- as I was told, the Mayor had other proposals or ideas of where the tower could be put. I'm hoping you take that into consideration. And I yield my time. Thank you.

MR. EDELSON: Thank you. All right.

So we'll go back to the people who had already signed up or had previously signed up but we didn't find them, we'll give them one more chance. So the first would be Marek Swietek. Is Marek on the call? Make sure you unmute.

MAREK SWIETEK: Can you hear me?

MR. EDELSON: Yes. Is this Marek?

MR. SWIETEK: Yes. Good evening.

MR. EDELSON: Okay. Go ahead.

MR. SWIETEK: I'm located on Richmond Avenue where the antenna tower was going to go.

It's right in my backyard, right next to my kids' playscape.

And I've been here for three years.

And if I knew that I was going to have an antenna tower in my backyard, every time I go on my porch where my kids play, I wouldn't have bought this house. So I think it's a horrible idea. I oppose it. I want each and every one of you to think when you go in your backyard on your porch and you envision a cell phone tower, would you live there? Think about that. I wonder how many of you own a house and sit on your porch and you look in your backyard and you're going to see a cell phone tower. Don't be selfish, all right. I oppose

1 this. I thank you for hearing me out. 2 MR. EDELSON: Okay. That's it? Thank 3 you. 4 So going back through the list, the 5 next was Marek Piechota. Again, if you're on mute, please unmute yourself so we can hear you. 6 7 I don't think I see anything. 8 (No response.) 9 MR. EDELSON: How about Christina 10 Kargul? Christina, are you there? 11 (No response.) 12 MR. EDELSON: All right. And I think 13 there was one more. Edyta Bobick, did I get that 14 right, are you there? 15 (No response.) 16 MR. EDELSON: I believe all the people 17 who signed up have had an opportunity to speak. 18 So with that, I just would like to remind you that 19 this is the end of the public hearing portion. If 20 you weren't with us before today, you should know 21 that the Council will be continuing the 22 evidentiary session on Thursday, September 2, 23 2021, at 2 p.m., again via Zoom remote 24 conferencing. A copy of the agenda for the 25 continued remote evidentiary hearing will be

available on the Council's Docket No. 503 webpage, along with the record of this matter, the public hearing notice, instructions for public access to the remote evidentiary hearing session, and the Council's Citizens Guide to Siting Council Procedures. Again, I want to thank all those people who participated in tonight's public hearing.

Again, I want to thank all those people who participated in tonight's public hearing. And I assure you that the Council members will take your comments into consideration as we continue to deliberate. And with that, I want to wish everyone a safe evening and take good care of yourselves. Thank you.

(Whereupon, the above proceedings adjourned at 7:12 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE FOR REMOTE HEARING

2.0

I hereby certify that the foregoing 36 pages are a complete and accurate computer-aided transcription of my original stenotype notes taken of the REMOTE PUBLIC COMMENT SESSION IN RE:

DOCKET NO. 503, ARX WIRELESS INFRASTRUCTURE, LLC APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC NEED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND OPERATION OF A TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY LOCATED AT 43 OSGOOD AVENUE, NEW BRITAIN, CONNECTICUT, which was held before EDWARD EDELSON, PRESIDING OFFICER, on July 20, 2021.

Lisa Waielle

Lisa L. Warner, CSR 061 Court Reporter

BCT REPORTING, LLC 55 WHITING STREET, SUITE 1A

PLAINVILLE, CONNECTICUT 06062